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Abstract

The climate is changing and will continue to do so regardless of any mitigation actions. Observations and information available are also changing as technological advances take place. Accordingly, an overview is given of a much-needed potential climate information system that embraces a comprehensive observing system to observe and track changes and the forcings of the system as they occur, and which develops the ability to relate one to the other and understand changes and their origins. Observations need to be taken in ways that satisfy the climate monitoring principles and ensure long-term continuity, and which have the ability to discern small but persistent signals. Some benchmark observations are proposed to anchor space-based observations and trends, including a much-needed step forward in the quality of water vapor observations. Satellite observations must be calibrated and validated, with orbital decay and drift effects fully dealt with, and adequate overlap to ensure continuity. The health of the monitoring system must be tracked and resources identified to fix problems. Fields must be analyzed into global products and delivered to users while stakeholder needs are fully considered. Data should be appropriately archived with full and open access, along with metadata that fully describe the observing system status and environment in which it operates. Reanalysis of the records must be institutionalized along with continual assessment of impacts of new observing and analysis systems. Some products will be used to validate and improve models, as well as initialize models and predict future evolution on multiple time scales using ensembles. Modeling and assimilation aspects are dealt with in accompanying papers. Attribution of changes to causes is essential and it is vital to fully assess past changes and model performance and results in making predictions to help
appraise reliability and assess impacts regionally on the environment, human activities,
and sectors of the economy. In particular, we expect to see a revolution in the way
developing countries use and apply climate information. Such a system will be invaluable
and further provides a framework for priority setting new observations and related
activities. Without the end-to-end process our investments will not deliver adequate
return and our understanding will be much less than it would be otherwise.
1. Introduction

The climate is changing from human influences and it is likely to continue to change regardless of the success of any mitigation actions owing to the long timescales involved in the energy infrastructure (related to fossil fuel burning), the long lifetime of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, and the slow response of the oceans and cryosphere to change (e.g., Karl and Trenberth 2003). This creates an imperative for building a comprehensive climate information system to:

- Observe and track the climate changes and forcings as they occur.
- Analyze global products (with models).
- Understand the changes and their origins.
- Validate and improve models.
- Initialize models; predict future developments.
- Assess impacts regionally: on environment, human activities and sectors such as agriculture, energy, fisheries, water resources, etc.

Such a system will be invaluable regardless of magnitude of global warming (Trenberth, et al. 2002).

Major technological advances are occurring now, and so we address the question in this paper as to what kind of information system might we have, and how do we accomplish it? There are many exciting new instruments on satellites being launched that open new horizons, and at the same time in situ instrumentation is also being developed to address observational issues that can not be addressed from space (most notably in the oceans).
and which provide a ground truth for the satellite observations. New global coupled
climate models and even Earth System models are under development at NOAA’s
Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) and the National Center for
Atmospheric Research (NCAR). Computer and information technology continue to
advance, so that global climate models can be run at very high resolution, for instance on
the Earth Simulator in Japan. Throughput in the range of many Teraflops is becoming
possible. Simulating the Earth’s climate at a few km resolution is addressed in a related
paper by Matsuno et al. (2004). Major frontiers are on how to best utilize the multitude
of observations on many different variables, for instance taking advantage of four-
dimensional data assimilation, and this is addressed for the atmosphere by Simmons et al.
(2004) and for the ocean by Stammer et al. (2004). Other frontiers exist in very high-
resolution land data assimilation (e.g., Mitchell et al. 2004) that result in high-resolution
hydrological information on streamflow and water balance (Lohmann et al. 2004). In
future, it is anticipated that fully coupled data assimilation will become developed and
feasible, but this is not practicable at present.

In spite of all these major technological advances, it does not make for a climate
observing system. The latter was defined by Trenberth et al. (2002) –see below– and
requires, among other things, that climate data records be established. Satellites, for
instance, are notorious for having a limited (order 5 year) operational lifetime during
which the instrument degrades, the orbit decays in altitude, the equator-crossing time for
sun-synchronous satellites can drift, and then they are replaced on failure of a critical
component thereby eliminating comparisons with the next instrument on the next satellite
that is so vital for the continuity of the climate record. Of course, having redundant systems, in particular, having a morning and afternoon polar orbiting satellite, helps bridge gaps, but issues related to the diurnal cycle of the observations combined with the harsh diurnal cycle of the platform and instrument as it moves from day to night to day, have compromised obtaining high quality long continuous records from space. On board calibration has also helped, but can also suffer from degradation of the sensors from cosmic radiation. Examples of the difficulties can be seen for radiation in Wielicki et al. (2002) and Trenberth (2002). [The latter includes a commentary and response that includes a correction. Since then in January 2004, yet another error has been found related to decay of the satellite altitude, requiring a further reprocessing of the data, B. Wielicki, personal communication]. The longstanding controversy over the so-called satellite temperature record is another example (see NRC 2000, Christy et al. 2003, Mears et al. 2003, Fu et al. 2004).

Other outstanding issues for establishing a climate information system include the ability to handle screeds of data in near real time; stewardship and archival of the data; access to data in a timely fashion and with minimal incremental costs; reanalysis and reprocessing of observations; developing new parameterizations in models appropriate for higher resolutions; and managing data from ensemble projections for many years into the future.

We believe we know what is needed but there are questions on how to accomplish it, even given that such a system is highly likely to pay for itself many times over through improved informed decision making?
2. Climate observations

The need for climate data records has been increasingly realized and is now documented in detail in a recent NRC report (NRC 2004). This recognizes that most observations are instrument and platform dependent. At the very least, observations must be of known quality, and preferably the quality is high. However, consistency and continuity are vital and calibration is essential. Continuing analysis and integrated assessment of observations are vital parts of the system that result in a usable uncertainty or error analysis. Most fundamentally needed are some “benchmark” observations: observations that approach absolute accuracy, preferably independent of instrument and platform, to anchor analyses.

For the atmosphere, the main instrument used has been the radiosonde, which is combined with satellite soundings in the infrared and microwave that are synthesized with other information in four-dimensional data assimilation. However, the radiosondes suffer from biases and lack absolute accuracy; they suffer from radiation errors and low quality humidity sensors that cannot cover the needed dynamic range throughout the troposphere, and different brands can have serious calibration errors (e.g., Lanzante et al 2003; Wang et al. 2003). An example of the effects of changing sondes is given in Christy et al. (2003) for temperatures in the lower stratosphere at Chuuk in the tropical west Pacific Ocean where the change was from Viz-B to Vaisala RS80 in December 1995 and was manifested as a spurious stratospheric cooling. However, the sondes are
independent and provide very high vertical resolution in all weather, but they have significant errors associated with representativeness and cannot provide high-resolution global coverage. The satellite systems often lack adequate calibration and are subject to effects from changes in observing times and orbital decay that challenge the continuity of record especially as satellites and instruments change. The global coverage of satellite data complements the limited land-based coverage from radiosondes, but the vertical and horizontal resolutions differ. The combination falls far short of what is needed for climate monitoring.

In contrast, a demonstrated new technology that exploits the Global Positioning System (GPS) satellites through use of radio occultation (RO) soundings is emerging as a true benchmark observation (Ware et al. 1996; Kursinski et al. 1996; Leroy 1999). RO soundings have excellent long-term stability, can be made in all weather conditions, have excellent (~100 m) vertical resolution, global fairly uniform coverage, diurnal cycle coverage, and many observations to beat down random noise. The horizontal representativeness of ~200-300 km is more compatible with global model resolution than isolated point values from sondes and is excellent for climate purposes. The observations can be used to help calibrate other remote sensing infrared or microwave systems (e.g., Schroder et al. 2003), but can also be integrated with those radiances in an assimilation system. The RO system would provide useful and unique vertical soundings of electron density in the ionosphere, temperature in the stratosphere, and temperature and water vapor in the troposphere. Complementing other sounding techniques, these soundings or direct information on refractivity and bending angles would have many applications for
space weather, climate monitoring and numerical weather prediction. Experimental systems are in place (e.g., the CHAMP system, Wickert et al. 2004) and enhanced systems are planned (the COSMIC array; Rocken et al. 2000), which is a prototype for a permanent operational constellation of at least six micro-satellites in polar orbit producing ~2,500 RO soundings a day globally that would support and substantially augment and improve the existing and planned global observing system at a very affordable cost. A recent workshop advocates the use of RO measurements and discusses advantages (Bengtsson et al. 2003).

In addition, there exists a tremendous need for much improved ground based “truth”, and following the second adequacy report from GCOS (2003), we have proposed a thin network of order 40 stations distributed globally of high quality “reference sondes” along the lines of those discussed by Wang et al. (2003). Water vapor, as the most important greenhouse gas, is very poorly measured yet vital for better understanding climate change. The prototype system would contain three thermisters (e.g., white, silver and black) to provide redundancy and allow removal of radiation effects from temperatures. The chilled mirror humidity sensor is vastly superior to operational sonde humidity sensors in the troposphere, but would not be suitable in the stratosphere, where a frost point hygrometer has been used to good effect at Boulder (Oltmans et al. 2000). It is desirable that these soundings be complemented by regular sondes as part of the GUAN (Global Upper Air Network) to ensure adequate temporal sampling.
Water vapor sampling can also be addressed by GPS column measurements (e.g., Ware et al. 2000; Bengtsson et al. 2003) that can be made at high frequency and which therefore can fully sample the diurnal cycle and fluctuations that might otherwise alias the baseline observations. Accounting for the diurnal cycle is an important issue. The column measurements constrain the total amount.

The GCOS second adequacy report (GCOS 2003) highlighted a number of “essential climate variables” for the three domains of the atmosphere, the ocean, and land surface. For the atmosphere these include (i) Surface: air temperature, precipitation, air pressure, surface radiation budget, wind speed and direction, and water vapor; (ii) Upper-air: Earth radiation budget (including solar irradiance), upper-air temperature (including MSU radiances), wind speed and direction, water vapor, and cloud properties; and (iii) Composition: carbon dioxide, methane, ozone, other long-lived greenhouse gases, and aerosol properties. For the ocean (i) Surface: sea-surface temperature, sea-surface salinity, sea level, sea state, sea ice, current, ocean color (for biological activity), and carbon dioxide partial pressure; and (ii) Sub-surface: temperature, salinity, current, nutrients, carbon, ocean tracers, and phytoplankton. For the land surface, the essential variables include: river discharge, water use, ground water, lake levels, snow cover, glaciers and ice caps, permafrost and seasonally-frozen ground, albedo, land cover (including vegetation type), Fraction of Absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation (FAPAR), Leaf Area Index (LAI), biomass, and fire disturbance.
The GCOS report offers recommendations on all of these variables after assessing the current capabilities and limitations for each, and it is not the purpose to detail these here. Above we highlighted two aspects that relate to benchmarks for the observing system that suggest the future climate observing system will evolve and differ from that in the past. The vision we have embraces an integrated system of both in situ and satellite observations that provide global coverage but with continuity and sufficient baseline measurements that the reality or otherwise of trends can be clearly established. It appears that the need for routine radiosondes is likely to decline except for a subset of much higher quality sondes, such as discussed above. More comprehensive sets of measurements should also be made, with the ozone sonde network better integrated with and even collocated with the temperature and water vapor soundings. Other variables (e.g., constituents) may also be sampled on such specialty sondes. The bulk of the information on the free atmosphere, however, is sure to come from remote sensing from space. But it must be anchored with solid ground-based observations.

At the surface, many new sensors have been developed and are being deployed from towers. It remains a challenge to deal properly with the heterogeneity of the land surface and many precipitation stations will continue to be needed, although preferentially with hourly data in order to capture changes in frequency and intensity of precipitation as a well as amounts (Trenberth et al. 2003). Radar measurements and an array of satellite measurements based on the proposed Global Precipitation Mission may finally allow the temporal sampling to become adequate to address hydrological issues in ways that are greatly needed.
For the ocean, while much can be done from space in terms of altimetry, scatterometry, ocean color, surface salinity, sea surface temperature, precipitation, and some surface fluxes, continuing to develop the backbone of subsurface autonomous floats (ARGO) and moored buoys, complemented by XBTs, surface drifters and tide gauges is vital. The initial ocean observing system is being implemented and is partially complete.

For the carbon cycle (see Ciais et al. 2004), the sources and sinks and controlling processes will only be determined within an integrated approach where point-wise *in situ* surface measurements can be scaled up using global satellite datasets and models, and then constrained and verified by global atmospheric CO₂ concentration measurements day and night, at all latitudes, and in all seasons. Process studies must be complemented by time series sites and moorings, VOS surface observations, eddy covariance flux networks, trans-basin sections, aircraft surveys, and remote sensing, especially taking advantage of passive and active sensors in the near infrared to obtain atmospheric carbon dioxide measurements.

Another vital component is the need to continue with a vibrant program on paleoclimate reconstructions and synthesis. This is actually by far the simplest and cheapest way to obtain long climate records to better assess the natural variability of the climate system and place current anomalies in context.

3. Climate Observing System
A climate observing “system” means a comprehensive approach that, according to Trenberth et al. (2002), includes:

- Climate observations from both space-based and in situ platforms that are taken in ways that address climate needs and adhere to the ten principles outlined by the NRC (1999). The international framework for sharing data is vital.

- A global telecommunications network and satellite data telemetry capacity to enable data and products to be disseminated.

- A climate observations analysis and tracking capability that produces global and regional analyses of various products for the atmosphere, oceans, land surface and hydrology, and the cryosphere.

- Four dimensional data assimilation capabilities that process the multivariate data in a physically consistent framework to enable production of the analyses, not just for the atmosphere, but also for the oceans, land surface and cryosphere.

- Global climate models that encompass all parts of the climate system and which are utilized in data assimilation and in making ensemble predictions originating from the initial observed state.

- A center for climate observation oversight and observing system monitoring that tracks the performance of the observations, the gathering of the data, and the processing systems. The center must also have the resources and influence to fix problems and the capability to communicate climate requirements when observational systems are being
discussed and established, such as for weather purposes or in establishing requirements for instruments on satellites.

Many of these elements exist in some form, but most were not developed for climate purposes and fall short of what is needed for a climate information system. Observations need to be taken in ways that satisfy the climate monitoring principles and ensure long-term continuity and ability to discern small but persistent signals. The implementation of adherence to the climate principles is only partly in place and GCOS has extended the original 10 principles to embrace ten further principles specifically oriented to satellite measurements (see GCOS 2003). Satellite observations must be calibrated and validated, with orbital decay and drift effects fully dealt with, and adequate overlap to ensure continuity. Telemetry shortfalls affect many measurements as radio frequencies are taken up for other uses (such as cell phones) and observational information, such as from ARGO floats, is constrained by telemetry capabilities and expense.

Many observations are analyzed but often require reprocessing and reanalysis for climate purposes. Four dimensional data assimilation has been practiced for many years in the atmosphere, but is still being developed for the ocean and land surface, and for the coupled system. Reanalysis of the records must be institutionalized along with continual assessment of impacts of new observing and analysis systems. Models are being used in the assimilation and for predictions but initial states are not yet used for decadal and longer-term predictions and for climate change. Largely missing altogether is an oversight center that tracks the performance of the whole observing system, and has the
capabilities and funding to fix problems before they become entrenched. Thus the health of the monitoring system must be tracked and resources identified to repair problems.

We believe that the Earth Science community should embrace the principle that no new observing system is built without adequate planning and consideration for monitoring of the observing system for random and time dependent errors and biases, metadata that fully describe the observing system status and environment in which it operates, the manner in which the data are processed, the means to deliver fundamental data analyses and products, and provisions for the long-term archive of the data with access to the data in well-conceived effective manner.

4. Attribution

One area of major growth should be developing the ability to not only track climate anomalies in the atmosphere but also to attribute them on multiple time scales to:

- external forcings (solar, volcanoes, atmospheric composition)
- internal forcings (e.g., El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), sea surface temperatures (SSTs) and ocean heat content, soil moisture anomalies, state of vegetation, sea ice)
- natural variability (essentially unpredictable).

This requires an operational numerical experimentation program running ensembles of models. At present, this activity is carried out in an ad hoc fashion, often as a research topic and not in real time. Institutions such as the NOAA’s Climate Prediction Center,
the European Centre for Medium range Weather Forecasts, and the International Research Institute for climate prediction, as well as many other national meteorological or climate centers, may perform limited experimentation in near real time as part of the process of issuing monthly forecasts, or retrospectively to understand major anomalies. Many research organizations participate and publish results. However, models now appear to have improved sufficiently that results are becoming more definitive.

For instance, several studies have recently provided evidence that decadal anomalies of major importance can now be traced to patterns of global SSTs. These include:

• the Sub-Sahara African drought beginning in the 1960s, (e.g., Giannini et al. 2003)
• the “Dust Bowl” era in North America in the 1930s, (e.g., Schubert et al. 2004)
• trends in the North Atlantic Oscillation, (e.g., Hurrell et al. 2004)
• other large-scale persistent droughts (e.g., Hoerling and Kumar 2003)
• ENSO effects (many studies)

as long as the global SSTs are given. But why are the SST anomalies the way they are?

Global SSTs in recent years have not warmed uniformly even as global warming progresses (Fig. 1). Evidently the trend pattern relates to the ways the different parts of the ocean are coupled with the atmosphere, which also determines the impact on the atmosphere, and relates to ocean uptake of heat, resulting ocean heat content and transport. We note that the tropical Indian Ocean has warmed to be competitive in its atmospheric influence with the Warm Pool in the tropical western Pacific as the warmest part of global ocean (Fig.1). Meanwhile the tropical Pacific evidently gets relief from
warming owing to ENSO; the Pacific pattern in Fig. 1 of warming in the tropics and cooling in the North Pacific is identified with signatures of ENSO and the related Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO). Deeper mixing occurs in the Atlantic, giving rise to the predominant thermohaline circulation (THC) in the ocean, and the pattern of trends there could relate to a slowing THC. There is considerable other oceanographic evidence, for instance related to freshening of the high latitudes and an increase in salinity in low latitudes of the North Atlantic (Dickson et al. 2003) that support such ideas. Note how the warming in both the tropical Pacific and Indian oceans is similar in magnitude but much more significant in the Indian Ocean as the natural variability there is much less. These unique aspects of the various oceans and their consequences for SSTs lead to patterns not well simulated by coupled models! But there is hope that they will improve. In any case models should show some skill simply based on the current state, when it becomes well known and properly assimilated into models. Provision needs to be made for changes in heat storage of oceans and associated changes in SSTs, glacier and ice sheet melt, changes over land in soil moisture and vegetation, and associated changes in atmospheric circulation, some aspects of which should be predictable on decadal time scales.

In any case, because the climate is changing systematically from human influences, models should show some predictive skill simply based on the current state, although the latter is not yet sufficiently well known to allow full attribution of why the current state is the way it is. Such assessments require full consideration of changes in heat storage in the various reservoirs, changes in forcings, clouds and aerosols, fluxes among different
climate system components, and transports by the atmosphere and oceans, all of which can be integrated into a climate system model framework.

5. The future challenge

In summary, we believe the challenge is to better determine:

1) how the climate system is changing;
2) how the forcings are changing;
3) how these relate to each other;
4) what they mean for the immediate and more distant future;
5) seamless predictions on multiple time scales;
6) attribution of anomalies to causes;
7) how to use this information for informed planning and decision making;
8) how to manage the data and reanalyze it routinely;
9) how to disseminate products around the world; and
10) how to interact with users and stakeholders and add regional value.

A particular opportunity may arise from the developments stemming from the Earth Observing Summit held 31 July 2003, Washington D.C. In April 2004, the draft plan to share Earth-observation data, identify gaps in observational efforts, and come up with ways to fill them was endorsed by 47 nations with implementation being developed for a system of systems over the next 10 years. The basic idea behind the Global Earth
Observation System of Systems, is that dozens of observational systems are now generating reams of data that could be far more powerful if they were combined and widely disseminated. But achieving that goal means overcoming major institutional, technical and political hurdles. Note that this initiative embraces much more than atmospheric and climate data and includes private, national and global observations.

Optimizing operational observing systems for multiple users includes considerations of three kinds of criteria related to demand, implementation, and strategic aspects (Table 1). All of these will be part of scientific data stewardship.

Internationally, the World Climate Research Programme (WCRP) is launching a new initiative called COPES: Coordinated Observation and Prediction of the Earth System that is also designed to foster research into building a comprehensive observing and prediction system for climate. COPES will

- Provide a framework for ensuring collaboration among nations and synergy across projects and activities.
- Build new tools to describe and analyze climate variability and change, and their combined effects.
- Assess why these effects are occurring.
- Build improved and more comprehensive climate system models.
- Make climate predictions of greater utility from weeks to centuries and on global to regional scales.
- Enable improved climate change assessments:
on effects of humans;
changes in extremes; and
impacts on water resources, and many other applications.

COPES embraces all the projects within the WCRP that are often more focused on process studies, but provides an overall framework that works toward building the Climate Information System envisaged here.

Together all of these components make up the *climate information system* as a major component of the more general Earth Information System. It clearly has many benefits that would likely more than offset costs, and would certainly lead to greatly improved stewardship of the planet. In any case the need for the system is much more than purely economic as it is an ethical imperative with regard to improving planning for the future generations. It is also a basis for exciting science as we discover more on how the Earth system works.
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Figure Caption

Fig 1. Trends in SSTs 1950-1999 and time series of monthly SST anomalies over the equatorial Indian and western Pacific Oceans (lower left) and eastern equatorial Pacific Ocean (lower right). Based on Hurrell et al. (2004) and kindly provided courtesy of A. Phillips and J. Hurrell.
Table 1. Criteria for optimizing observing systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand criteria</th>
<th>Implementation criteria</th>
<th>Strategic criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Usefulness of existing observations</td>
<td>Cost to implement</td>
<td>Existing infrastructure to support data stewardship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity and demand</td>
<td>Readiness</td>
<td>Easy access to data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual use potential</td>
<td>Operating cost</td>
<td>Potential for transition from research to operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of models for data utilization</td>
<td>Probability of successful implementation</td>
<td>Cost sharing and partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived impact of resulting data</td>
<td>Well defined measurement principles</td>
<td>Commitment and stability of sponsors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extensibility to improvements</td>
<td>Absence of potential impediments to data use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Timeliness of data &amp; information</td>
<td>Breadth and readiness of user communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Capacity building opportunities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig 1. Trends in SSTs 1950-1999 and time series of monthly SST anomalies over the equatorial Indian and western Pacific Oceans (lower left) and eastern equatorial Pacific Ocean (lower right). Based on Hurrell et al. (2004) and kindly provided courtesy of A. Phillips and J. Hurrell.